1 Carrie M. Timko, Bar No. 238269 EPSTEN GRINNELL & HOWELL, APC 10200 Willow Creek Rd., Suite 100 2 San Diego, California 92131 (858) 527-0111/FAX (858) 527-1531 3 ctimko@epsten.com 4 5 **Attorneys for Petitioners** Vista La Jolla Townhomes Association 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, CENTRAL DIVISION 10 In the matter of: CASE NO. 37-2018-00036591-CU-PT-CTL 11 12 VISTA LA JOLLA TOWNHOMES ORDER GRANTING PETITION TO ASSOCIATION, a California Nonprofit AMEND DECLARATION OF Mutual Benefit Corporation, **RESTRICTIONS (CIV. CODE § 4275)** 13 Date: October 11, 2018 14 Petitioner. Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept.: 903 15 Judge: Hon. David M. Rubin 16 Hearing Date: October 11, 2018 17 The verified petition of VISTA LA JOLLA TOWNHOMES ASSOCIATION 18 19 ("Association") for an order to reduce the percentage of affirmative votes necessary to amend its Declaration of Restrictions ("Proposed Amendment"), came on regularly for hearing on 20 21 October 11, 2018, at 1:30 p.m., in Department 903 in the above-referenced Court, located at 22 1100 Union Street, San Diego, CA 92101, the Honorable David M. Rubin, Judge Presiding. Carrie M. Timko of the law firm of Epsten Grinnell & Howell, APC, appeared on 23 24 appeared in opposition to the behalf of Petitioner. 25 Petition]. 26 The Court, having considered the verified Petition and the attached exhibits, the 27 memorandum of points and authorities, and other documents in support [and in opposition to] 28 the Petition, having heard the arguments before it and being fully advised in the matter, finds ORDER GRANTING PETITION TO AMEND DECLARATION OF RESTRICTIONS 3639084v1

8

6

9

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

as follows:

- 1. Petitioner gave not less than fifteen days' written notice of the hearing to all members of the Association entitled to notice of the hearing on the Petition;
- 2. There are no mortgagees of mortgages and/or beneficiaries of deeds of trust entitled to notice of the hearing on the Petition under the terms of the Declaration, and the Declaration does not require any notice to the city and/or county where the Association is located;
- 3. Balloting on the Proposed Amendment was conducted in accordance with all applicable provisions of the Association's governing documents, the Davis-Stirling Common Interest Development Act, and any other applicable law;
- 4. A reasonably diligent effort was made to permit all eligible members to vote on the Proposed Amendment;
- 5. Members having more than fifty percent (50%) of the votes voted in favor of the Proposed Amendment;
- 6. The Proposed Amendment is reasonable;
- 7. Granting the petition is not improper for any reason specified in section 4275(c) of the Civil Code;

On proof being made to the satisfaction of the Court, and for good cause shown,

## IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. The Declaration's requirement at Article XIV, Section 2 relating to the percentage of votes needed for approval of the Proposed Amendment is reduced for the purposes of this Petition and the Proposed Amendment is validly approved on the basis of the affirmative votes that were actually received during the balloting period.
- 2. Petitioner is hereby authorized to finalize the Proposed Amendment in a recordable form, along with a copy of this executed order, and record the Proposed Amendment in the San Diego County Recorder's Office as part of the official records of San Diego County.

1	3. Pursuant to Civil Code section 4275(g), Petitioner is directed to deliver by
2	individual delivery pursuant to Civil Code section 4040, a copy of the recorded
3	Proposed Amendment to each member of the Association within a reasonable time
4	after said document is recorded, together with a statement that the amendment has
5	been recorded.
6	IT IS SO ORDERED:
7	
8	
9	Dated:, 2018
10	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
3639084v1	ORDER GRANTING PETITION TO AMEND DECLARATION OF RESTRICTIONS